

REMARKS

We have amend independent claims 1, 20, 32, 33 and 34, and added new claims 35 and 36, to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. With these amendments, claims 1-3, 7-16, 20-22, and 27-36 are pending in the application.

The examiner provisionally rejected claims 1-3, 7-16, 20-22, and 27-34 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 through 67 and 69 of copending U.S. Patent Application No. 10/614,655. To address this rejection we are enclosing a Terminal Disclaimer.

The examiner rejected independent claims 1, 20, and 32-34 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang et al. (U.S. 6,295,492) in view of Shetty et al. (U.S. 5,808,907).

In response, we amend each of the independent claims to more specifically recite that the location-based data [is] generated using a terrestrial GPS system. This amendment is supported by Applicants' specification on page 23, lines 17-22.

Neither Lang nor Shetty describe a terrestrial GPS system, let alone using such a system to determine a vehicle's location, or displaying this location on an Internet-accessible web site. Lang simply describes a conventional satellite-based GPS system. Shetty lacks a description of any GPS system at all.

For the reasons stated above, we believe that the claims are allowable and therefore ask the Examiner to allow them to issue.

Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account No. 08-0219.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

September 9, 2004



Eric L. Prahl
Reg. No. 32,590

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
Telephone: (617) 526-6000
Facsimile: (617) 526-5000